Cover story originally published in the July 11, 2005 issue of The Ux Weekly.

July 11, 2005 Volume 19 Issue 28



A weekly publication of UxC

The Myth of Excess **SWU Capacity**

One of the more pervasive The demand for SWU to myths about enrichment capacity is that there is an excess of it. This largely results from a misunderstanding of the nature of SWU demand and the tails assays, the more the dynamic interrelationship between the enrichment and uraniumblendstock or uranium markets. Below we will examine the nature of SWU 0.30w/o to 0.29w/o in the demand, its dynamic nature, and the ramifications that the excess SWU capacity question has on the future of the market and industry.

sources of enrichment demand by utilities to make Energy Northwest (see fuel. The second is the production of blendstock for increasing this type of HEU. The third is the enrichment of tails to create equivalent feed, either directly or through underfeeding. Blendstock and tails stripping are similar because they both involve enriching tails, but are different due the final product as well as the motivations for enrichment. time. Moreover, in the Thus, the SWU demand in recent WNFM meeting in the first instance is for enrichments of 4.5-5w/o,

worldwide.

perhaps 8-10 million SWU closely balanced with

We can identify three

create HEU blendstock and SWU capacity also may to enrich tails increases to the extent that tails assays Russian Suspension used to feed the process decline. That is, the lower SWU it takes to produce an restrictions on the import of equivalent amount of output. A decrease from assay of the feedstock requires almost a 5% increase in the amount of enrichment to produce the same amount of feed (with enrichment occurring at a final 0.15w/o tails assay). Also, USEC is now enriching high-assay tails demand. One is the normal that DOE has transferred to In the SWU trade case, the story page 3), further demand for SWU.

that there is little or no surplus SWU capacity. Enrichment prices have been under upward pressure, and are likely to continue to be under upward pressure for some Prague, Tenex's Alexander early end to the HEU deal. Pavlov stated that the the second is to 1.5w/o, and perception that Russia had What would happen if the the third is to 0.711w/o. The excess SWU capacity was last two of these account forwrong, and capacity was

The question of excess have some relevance to the Agreement and the Sunset Review that is being conducted with respect to Russian uranium to the United States. There is essentially no uranium to export from Russia in any case, but as long as the HEU deal continues, there is likely little SWU to export either. The Suspension Agreement review has been linked by some to the current SWU trade case between USEC and the European enrichers.

Department of Justice has argued that a finding of SWU being a service and not a product would There are strong indications endanger the current HEU deal since it might allow Russia to contend that its commercial SWU should not be restricted. This, in turn, the argument goes, might lead Russia to favor selling commercial SWU over making blendstock for HEU and precipitate an

> HEU deal ended? First. there could be a small reduction in SWU supply of perhaps one million SWU

needs. Further, there are

indications that enrichers

are limiting the downward

contracts, clearly an

indication of a capacity

The lack of surplus SWU

capacity has a number of

To account for this a SWU supply/demand comparison, one must either add it to the demand constraint. side of the equation or subtract it from the supply side. In this respect, it is inappropriate to add the SWU content in EUP from HEU to total Russian SWU capacity, since a comparable amount of primary Russian capacity is capacity, or even a devoted to making blendstock for HEU. Some existing plants, as industry analyses add HEU suggested in some SWU (and SWU used to upgrade tails) to Russian nameplate capacity, when, in reality, it subtracts from the effective capacity or at best double counts. The SWU fairy is not going to magically supply the additional demand associated with blendstock entrants to the SWU production and tails enrichment.

implications for the market and industry going forward. dramatic increase in First, this lack indicates that uranium prices, which, in a moratorium on the construction of new SWU temporary shutdown of nonproliferation circles, makes no sense at all. The moratorium idea was based Of course, the HEU deal on the premise that there was sufficient excess capacity to eliminate a new plant and to supply Iran and other potential new Perhaps the best solution business from existing sources instead.

Not only is total enrichment Related to this is the demand notably higher than contention in various what would be suggested by ordinary utility purchases, but the extra demand is increasing. The new SWU capacity. There issales in the current deal. "normal" demand for enrichment increases to the substantial additional extent that the demand for production. enriched product increases and to the extent that utilities opt for lower tails assays. Clearly, both of these things have been happening, which results in capacity to produce a compound growth in enrichment demand. Reactors are operating at higher capacities, some of which have been uprated, and utilities have opted for lower tails assays as the price of feed has jumped.

Another implication is that the prospects for an accelerated HEU program are dim. With little or no additional blendstock, it now appears that it is the ability to create blendstock that would represent the likely physical limitation of any acceleration of the existing program.

regulator proceedings

involving LES and USEC

that there is no need for

clearly a need for

enrichment and uranium is extremely complex and The blendstock constraint is dynamic. But, this does not also relevant to the amount mean that it should be of HEU that is blended ignored when making

per year, since this is the difference between the additional SWU demand in tails assay flexibility in new SWU contained in blendeddown HEU and the SWU required to make blendstock. But the larger effect is from the loss of about 6,000 tonnes per year of uranium from HEU. Such a loss would lead to a turn, would cause utilities to further reduce tails assay and increase demand for SWU. It is likely that neither the uranium nor SWU markets would "clear" under such circumstances.

> also is of crucial importance from a nonproliferation standpoint, and thus more motives for anyone building than the nuclear fuel market would suffer if it ended. from the standpoint of future nuclear fuel supply (both enrichment and feed) as well as furthering nonproliferation goals is to make any Russian commercial SWU sales to the U.S. contingent on a continuation of HEU SWU Additional SWU is needed to support the future growth of nuclear power, including that on the part of countries which may be convinced to forgo building their own enrichment facilities if economic supplies are forthcoming from dependable sources. These

> > supplies have to come from

somewhere, and Russia is

as good a source as any.

The relationship between

down in Russia after the procurement and current HEU deal ends. By production decisions, and the time the existing HEU especially when making deal ends in 2013, growing policy decisions, which in world demand for SWU, the end may be incredibly especially from China and wrongheaded. India, seems likely to absorb the Russian capacity currently dedicated to making HEU blendstock. Once the current deal ends, it can be argued that Russia would rather make commercial sales (especially in connection with reactor sales) than use its SWU capacity to make blendstock for more HEU.

Copyright © UxC, All Rights Reserved.